Methotrexate in Rheumatoid Arthritis: Effect on blood indexes, liver and renal parameters in Duhok Governorate, Iraq

Running Title: Methotrexate effect on rheumatoid arthritis patient

Bizav Rasheed

Department of Nursing, College of Health and Medical Techniques-Shekhan, Duhok Polytechnic University, Duhok, Iraq.

bizav.naji@dpu.edu.krd

ORCID (0009-0003-4011-5961)

Beri Tawfeq

Medical Laboratory Sciences, College of Health Sciences, Duhok University, Iraq. Duhok beri.tawfeq@uod.ac

Corresponding author: Bizav Rasheed

Email: <u>bizav.naji@dpu.edu.krd</u>

Tel: 009647504573457

Address: Department of Nursing, College of Health and Medical Techniques-Shekhan, Duhok Polytechnic University, Duhok, Iraq

Abstract

Background and objectives: Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a long-term autoimmune disorder that primarily affects joints. The disease may also affect other parts of the body, including the skin, eyes, lungs, heart, nerves, and blood. This study Aimed To evaluate the effect of methotrexate on blood, liver, and renal parameters in patients with Rheumatoid arthritis.

Methods: A six-month cross-sectional study was carried out on 60 consecutive patients of aged 19-70 years with diagnosed Rheumatoid arthritis on methotrexate treatment (10 mg) orally per week. A questionnaire form was taken from participants, and laboratory tests were done on renal and liver function and serological tests (complete blood count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, glutamic pyruvic transaminase, a glutamic-oxaloacetic transaminase, creatinine, C-reactive protein, and rheumatoid factor as follow-up of drug taking).

Results: At the end of sample collection, age 19-70 years, female: male ratio 1.5:1, while the only significant differences in platelet level were between day one and fourteen of treatment with a P value < 0.05, Glutamic Pyruvic Transaminase (GPT) level was between day one and thirty with a P value < 0.05, and rheumatoid factor level was between day one, fourteen, and day one, thirty with P values of (0.01) and (0.04) respectively which were significant.

Conclusion: The recommended medication for all kinds of rheumatoid arthritis patients is methotrexate, which has had a notable impact on blood, liver, and kidney parameters. These characteristics could be used to track how well this medication works, how safe it is, and to follow up with patients.

Keywords: C - reactive protein; Glutamic-Oxaloacetic Transaminase; Glutamic-Pyruvic Transaminase; Hemoglobins; Methotrexate; Rheumatoid

Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic, symmetrical, inflammatory autoimmune disease that initially affects small joints, progressing to larger joints, and eventually the skin, eyes, heart, kidneys, and lungs. Often, the bone and cartilage of joints are destroyed, and tendons and ligaments weaken (1).

The onset of this disease is usually from the age of 35 to 60 years, with remission and exacerbation. It can also afflict young children even before the age of 16 years, referred to as juvenile RA (JRA), which is similar to RA except that rheumatoid factor is not found (2-4). In the West, the prevalence of RA is believed to be 1-2% (5, 6), and 1% worldwide (7).

One of the most common screening procedures in rheumatology therapy is liver enzyme testing, as recommendations, such as the widely used American College of Rheumatology guidelines, urge monitoring liver enzymes at intervals of at least 8–12 weeks in all RA patients treated with MTX (8). Also, because MTX precipitates in tubules and is mostly eliminated by the kidneys, it might cause acute kidney injury (AKI) when used in large dosages for oncologic purposes (9), and conclude that using MTX, close observation of renal function should be necessary.

Although low dose MTX therapy is regarded as an anchor therapy in RA, full details of its mechanism of action and off target effects are still incompletely understood (10).

Because, MTX is well known to affect folic acid metabolism, MTX treatment can result in alterations of MCV, which may impact on RDW, as MCV levels feed into RDW calculation (11). We thus questioned, whether RDW levels and subsequently its diagnostic utility and potential in RA subjects, as reported before, are influenced by ongoing MTX therapy (10, 12-14).

Method

A cross-sectional study conducted at Duhok Rheumatology Center in a period of 5 months. From October 2023 to March 2024 data collection and, March to April 2024 data analysis were did. Build statistics and a basis to standardize future practice and Hospital protocol.

A 60 consecutive patients of aged 19-70 years with diagnosed Rheumatoid arthritis on methotrexate treatment (10 mg) orally per week. A questionnaire form was taken from participants after taking ethical approval paper of research ethic committee of Duhok directorate of health (13122023-11-17), and laboratory tests were done on renal and liver function and serological tests (complete blood count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, glutamic pyruvic transaminase, a glutamic-oxaloacetic transaminase, creatinine, C-reactive protein, and rheumatoid factor as follow-up of drug taking) after withdrawal of 6 ml of venous blood from each participants (3 ml in EDTA tube) and (3 ml for biochemical tests).Instruments for data collection were (Roche Cobas c 311 biochemical auto analyzer machine with its kits for Serum CRP,GOT,GPT, Creatinine level measurement), and Boule Medonic hematological auto analyzer machine with its kits for Hb, WBC,PLT level measurement.

Patients treated by methotrexate treatment included, while patients treated with anti-rheumatoid drug therapy other than methotrexate treatment, blood disease, renal and liver disease patients will be excluded.

Results

Descriptive study of age and hematological changes during 1st, 14th, 30th days of treatment:

Age and hematological changes results were, age (44.13 ±10.31 SD) years, while mean hemoglobin, white blood cells, platelets count and ESR in the first day of treatment were (12.30 ±1.67 SD) gm/dl, (8.85 ± 2.68 SD) x 10 9 /L ,(287.67 ±68.42 SD) x 1000/L, (31.37±20.19 SD) mmhg/hr respectively, (12.20±1.59 SD),(8.79 ±2.65 SD),(270.38±73.96 SD),(28.12 ±14.97SD) in day fourteen of treatment ,

and $(12.20\pm1.92 \text{ SD})$, $(9.06 \pm 2.98 \text{ SD})$, $(278.38\pm35.96 \text{ SD})$, $(26.86\pm17.03 \text{ SD})$ in day thirty after treatment as shown in Table 1.

Descriptive study of biochemical tests changes during 1st, 14th, 30th days of treatment:

Also biochemical changes results were mean serum creatinine, GOT, and GPT level in the first day of treatment were (0.74 \pm 0.34 SD), (24.38 \pm 16.76 SD) ,(25.58 \pm 17.26 SD) gm/dl, respectively, (0.71 \pm 0.32 SD), (20.66 \pm 7.62 SD) ,(21.18 \pm 7.77 SD) gm/dl in day fourteen of treatment , and (0.72 \pm 0.34 SD), (20.32 \pm 8.11 SD) ,(20.53 \pm 8.07 SD) gm/dl in day thirty after treatment shown in Table 2.

Descriptive study of serological tests changes during 1st, 14th, 30th days of treatment:

While serological tests changes results were mean CRP, and level in the first day of treatment were (21.29 \pm 53.84 SD) gm/dl, (76.20 \pm 77.15 SD) U/ml respectively, (12.39 \pm 18.97 SD) gm/dl, (44.46 \pm 46.52 SD) U/ml in day fourteen of treatment, and (11.82 \pm 14.04 SD) gm/dl, (43.26 \pm 59.41 SD) U/ml in day thirty after treatment shown in Table 3.

Comparison in hematological tests changes during 1st, 14th, 30th days of treatment

There were no significant differences between hemoglobin, white blood cells, ESR in all course treatment days (1st, 14th and 30th) with p- value > 0.05, while the only significance differences in platelets level were between day 1st and 14th with P value < 0.05 shown in Table 4.

Comparison in biochemical tests changes during 1st, 14th, 30th days of treatment

There were no significant differences between creatinine, GOT in all course treatment days (1st, 14th and 30th) with p- value > 0.05, while the only significance differences in GPT level was between day 1st and 30th with P value < 0.05 shown in Table 5.

Comparison in serological tests changes during 1st, 14th, 30th days of treatment:

There were no significant differences between CRP level in all course treatment days (1st, 14th and 30th) with p- value > 0.05, while there were significance differences in RF level was between day 1st, 14th and day 1st, 30th with P value (0.01) and (0.04) respectively shown in Table 6.

Discussion

In accordance with EULAR guidelines, csDMARDs-most notably, MTX in combination with low-dose glucocorticoids-should be used to start RA treatment. Despite being considered an essential therapy for RA, low dose MTX therapy's exact mechanism of action and side effects are yet unknown (10).

Changes in Hemoglobin and MCV brought on by MTX treatment may have an effect on RDW because RDW is calculated using MCV levels (11). In addition to biochemical parameter analysis that aids in the prediction of toxicities, clinicians give special emphasis to the management of adverse effects through clinical monitoring. But no study has found that their disturbance is common in the public. This is what motivated the current study's realization, which will allow for a more suitable strategy and better patient care.

As no appreciable elevation in transaminases' levels is observed at an MTX cumulative dose of 1.5 g, this appears to be the case with the current work. This outcome may be explained by the fact that RA-affected patients who were recruited appear to tolerate MTX better than psoriatic patients (15, 16). Even so, there is minimal correlation between elevated transaminases concentration and the likelihood of fibrosis and histological alterations (17, 18). A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials examined the risk of liver injury in methotrexate users. The study published in Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism, 45(2), 156-162, revealed that the frequency of raised liver enzyme concentrations during MTX treatments in patients with psoriasis was more than that of RA patients (14.5% vs. 7.5%) (19).

Although current research on other populations indicates a modest association between cumulative dose and fibrosis, liver enzymes are particularly controlled among the biochemical markers that are examined during a lengthy MTX treatment period (20).

Additionally, a 50% dose reduction in the event that CrCl is less than 45 mL/min may result from a decrease in CrCl, which is another important factor in MTX-based treatment (21).

Effects of moderate renal insufficiency on pharmacokinetics of methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis patients (22). Kidney illness in patients with RA; results of study indicate that the cumulative dose of MTX appears to have a significant impact on renal function (p = 0.037 for creatinine and p = 0.036 for CrCl), particularly in female patients. Significantly higher prevalence of CrCl disturbances is also observed when compared to creatinine (13.18% vs. 1.09%, respectively, p < 0.001), indicating that CrCl should be prioritized in renal monitoring (23). About the renal function test, we have observed the creatinine level in the (1 day, 14-day, 30 day) and the result against of the results done by (24).

In the evaluation of liver function tests, the level of GOT and GPT in the (1 day, 14 day, 30 day) of taking the treatment is go or related to the result done (25), and this goes with our result as GOT level changed significantly with treatment follow-up, this means that methotrexate sometimes may not be toxic to the liver and renal if given by low dose with a good follow of patient clinically and by investigations.

Regarding serological tests in current study shows no significant difference between (1 day, 14-day, 30 day) among CRP level, this goes with research done by Ismaili, H *et al.* (2019) (26).

Conclusion

Methotrexate is the primary medication recommended for patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA), demonstrating significant effects on hematological, hepatic, and renal parameters. While the administration of low-dose methotrexate on a weekly basis for autoimmune conditions may result in certain adverse effects, it is generally well tolerated and exhibits considerable efficacy. Proper monitoring is essential to mitigate potential negative outcomes. Given that many of these serious complications can be detected early and potentially avoided, it is imperative for primary care physicians and hematologists to be aware of these issues and the associated guidelines. Prolonged methotrexate therapy may result in elevated liver enzyme levels. Serological assessments, such as C-reactive protein and rheumatoid factor tests, are critical for monitoring disease progression and the side effects of methotrexate. Additionally, conducting bone marrow examinations in relation to methotrexate treatment is advisable, as bone marrow suppression may present valuable insights. Should laboratory test abnormalities arise during treatment, it is recommended to reduce the methotrexate dosage or consider switching to an alternative medication with fewer side effects until the patient's condition stabilizes.

Conflict of interest

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

All praise and gratitude are due to Almighty God for giving us strength and ability to understand, learn and complete this research. Also, would like to express our thanks to Duhok Rheumatology Center at Duhok city laboratory staff for continuous help. Special thanks to Dr.Baravan Amedi Rheumatologist for his great help of my research.

Funding sources

Nil

Ethical statement

A questionnaire form was taken from participants after taking approval of research ethic committee of Duhok directorate of health (13122023-11-17)

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Author contributions

Beri Tawfeq (Data collection, filling questionaire form by asking patients, investigations preparation and working, writing introduction), Bizav Rasheed (Data collectins, arranging data on SPSS, analysis of data, arranging article)

Highlights:

- 1- Methotrexate have many side effects on patients of Rheumatoid arthritis.
- 2- Only affect some biochemical tests like GOT, RF after patient's therapy with methotrexate.

Reference:

- 1. Lee JE, Kim IJ, Cho MS, Lee J. A case of rheumatoid vasculitis involving hepatic artery in early rheumatoid arthritis. Journal of Korean medical science. 2017 Jul 1;32(7):1207-10.
- 2. Fox CQ. Physician Assistant Clinical Review Cards. FA Davis Company; 2002.
- 3. McInnes IB, Schett G. The pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis. New England Journal of Medicine. 2011 Dec 8;365(23):2205-19.
- 4. Chaudhari K, Rizvi S, Syed BA. Rheumatoid arthritis: current and future trends. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2016 May 1;15(5):305-6.
- 5. Picerno V, Ferro F, Adinolfi A, Valentini E, Tani C, Alunno A. One year in review: the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2015 Jul 1;33(4):551-8.
- 6. AlamanosY V. DrososAA. Incidence and prevalence of rheumatoidarthritis, based on the 1987 AmericanCollegeofRheumatologycriteria: a systematic review. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2006;36(3):182-8.
- Chopra A, Abdel-Nasser A. Epidemiology of rheumatic musculoskeletal disorders in the developing world. Best Practice & Research Clinical Rheumatology. 2008 Aug 1;22(4):583-604.
- 8. Singh JA, Saag KG, Bridges SL, et al. 2015 American college of rheumatology guideline for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2016;68(1):1226-26.
- 9. Hayashi, K., Sada, KE., Asano, Y. *et al.* Risk of higher dose methotrexate for renal impairment in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Sci Rep* **10**, 18715 (2020). <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-75655-9</u>).
- 10. Brown PM, Pratt AG, Isaacs JD. Mechanism of action of methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis, and the search for biomarkers. Nature Reviews Rheumatology. 2016 Dec;12(12):731-42.
- 11. Felker GM, Allen LA, Pocock SJ, Shaw LK, McMurray JJ, Pfeffer MA, Swedberg K, Wang D, Yusuf S, Michelson EL, Granger CB. Red cell distribution width as a novel prognostic marker in heart failure: data from the CHARM Program and the Duke Databank. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2007 Jul 3;50(1):40-7.
- 12. Rodríguez-Carrio J, Alperi-López M, López P, Alonso-Castro S, Ballina-García FJ, Suárez A. Red cell distribution width is associated with cardiovascular risk and disease parameters in rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology. 2015 Apr 1;54(4):641-6.
- 13. Weiss G. Monitoring iron therapy in chronic heart failure. European journal of heart failure. 2013 Jul;15(7):711-2.
- 14. Al Taii H, Yaqoob Z, Al-Kindi SG. Red cell distribution width (RDW) is associated with cardiovascular disease risk in Crohn's disease. Clinics and research in hepatology and gastroenterology. 2017 Apr 12;41(4):490-2.
- 15. Hassan W. Methotrexate and liver toxicity: role of surveillance liver biopsy. Conflict between guidelines for rheumatologists and dermatologists. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 1996 May;55(5):273.
- 16. Gilani ST, Khan DA, Khan FA, Ahmed M. Adverse effects of low dose methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis patients. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak. 2012 Feb 1;22(2):101-4.
- Whiting-O'Keefe QE, Fye KH, Sack KD. Methotrexate and histologic hepatic abnormalities: a meta-analysis. The American journal of medicine. 1991 Jun 1;90(6):711-6.

- 18. Conway R, Low C, Coughlan RJ, O'Donnell MJ, Carey JJ. Methotrexate use and risk of lung disease in psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, and inflammatory bowel disease: systematic literature review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. bmj. 2015 Mar 13;350.
- 19. Tilling L, Townsend S, David J. Methotrexate and hepatic toxicity in rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis. Clinical drug investigation. 2006 Feb;26:55-62.
- 20. Kim TY, Kim JY, Sohn JH, Lee HS, Bang SY, Kim Y, Kim MY, Jeong WK. Assessment of substantial liver fibrosis by real-time shear wave elastography in methotrexate-treated patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine. 2015 Sep;34(9):1621-30.
- 21. Bressolle F, Bologna C, Kinowski JM, Sany J, Combe B. Effects of moderate renal insufficiency on pharmacokinetics of methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 1998 Feb 1;57(2):110-3.
- 22. Karie S, Gandjbakhch F, Janus N, Launay-Vacher V, Rozenberg S, Mai Ba CU, Bourgeois P, Deray G. Kidney disease in RA patients: prevalence and implication on RArelated drugs management: the MATRIX study. Rheumatology. 2008 Mar 1;47(3):350-4.
- 23. Seideman P, Müller-Suur R. Renal effects of aspirin and low dose methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 1993 Aug 1;52(8):613-5.
- 24. Kremer, J.M., Petrillo, G.F. and Hamilton, R.A., 1995. Pharmacokinetics and renal function in patients with rheumatoid arthritis receiving a standard dose of oral weekly methotrexate: association with significant decreases in creatinine clearance and renal clearance of the drug after 6 months of therapy. *The Journal of rheumatology*, 22(1), pp.38-40.
- 25. Karlsson Sundbaum, J., Eriksson, N., Hallberg, P., Lehto, N., Wadelius, M., & Baecklund, E. (2019). Methotrexate treatment in rheumatoid arthritis and elevated liver enzymes: A long-term follow-up of predictors, surveillance, and outcome in clinical practice. *International journal of rheumatic diseases*, 22(7), 1226–1232. https://doi.org/10.1111/1756-185X.13576
- 26. Ismaili H, Ismaili L, Rexhepi M. Values and Correlations between C-Reactive Protein and Apolipoprotein B after Treatment with Methotrexate at Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis. Open Access Maced J Med Sci. 2019 Apr 26;7(8):1293-1298. doi: 10.3889/oamjms.2019.278. PMID: 31110572; PMCID: PMC6514347.

Tables

| | | | r | | | | 8 | | | , | | | | |
|----|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| | Parameter | Age | HB1 | HB2 | HB3 | WBC1 | WBC2 | WBC3 | PLT1 | PLT2 | PLT3 | ESR1 | ESR2 | ESR3 |
| N | Valid | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| IN | Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mean | 44.13 | 12.30 | 12.20 | 12.20 | 8.85 | 8.79 | 9.06 | 287.67 | 270.38 | 278.35 | 31.37 | 28.12 | 26.86 |
| | Median | 44.00 | 12.30 | 12.40 | 12.30 | 8.95 | 8.55 | 9.70 | 281.50 | 265.00 | 270.00 | 27.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
| St | d. Deviation | 10.31 | 1.68 | 1.59 | 1.92 | 2.68 | 2.65 | 2.98 | 68.41 | 73.96 | 60.44 | 20.19 | 14.97 | 17.03 |
| | Minimum | 19 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 8.5 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 135 | 118.0 | 148 | 4 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| | Maximum | 70 | 16.0 | 15.5 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 16.0 | 16.1 | 417 | 450.0 | 400 | 85 | 69.0 | 75.0 |

Table 1: Descriptive study of age and hematological changes during 1st, 14th, 30th days of treatment

HB1: Hemoglobin 1st day, HB2: Hemoglobin 14^{th t} day, HB3: Hemoglobin 30th day, WBC1: WBC 1st day, WBC2: WBC 14^{th t} day, WBC3: WBC 30th day, PLT1: PLT 1st day, PLT 2: PLT 14^{th t} day, PLT 3: PLT 30th day, ESR1: ESR 1st day, ESR 2: ESR 14^{th t} day, ESR 3: ESR 30th day

Table 2: Descriptive study of biochemical tests changes during 1st, 14th, 30th days of treatment

| Parameter | | Creatinine1 | Creatinine2 | Creatinine3 | GOT1 | GOT2 | GOT3 | GPT1 | GPT2 | GPT3 |
|-----------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| Ν | Valid | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| IN | Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mean | 0.74 | 0.71 | 0.72 | 24.38 | 20.66 | 20.32 | 25.58 | 21.18 | 20.53 |
| | Median | 0.70 | 0.65 | 0.68 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 18.00 | 22.00 | 20.00 | 18.00 |
| Sto | d. Deviation | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 16.76 | 7.62 | 8.11 | 17.26 | 7.77 | 8.07 |
| Minimum | | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.2 | 6.00 | 2.00 | .20 |
| Maximum | | 1.84 | 2.09 | 1.97 | 135.0 | 40 | 40.0 | 140.00 | 41.00 | 40.00 |

Creatinine 1: creatinine 1st day, **Creatinine 2**: creatinine 14^{th t} day, **Creatinine 3**: creatinine 30th day, **GOT1**: GOT 1st day, **GOT2**: GOT 14^{th t} day, **GOT3**: GOT 30th day, **GPT1**: GPT 1st day, **GPT 2**: GPT 14^{th t} day, **GPT3**: GPT 30th day

| Table 3: Descriptive study | of serological tests char | nges during 1st, 14th | . 30th days of treatment |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | |

| Pa | Parameter | | CRP2 | CRP3 | RF1 | RF2 | RF3 |
|---------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Ν | Valid | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| IN | Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mean | | 12.391 | 11.829 | 76.200 | 44.462 | 43.268 |
| N | Aedian | 10.820 | 9.400 | 9.400 | 64.000 | 32.000 | 17.500 |
| Std. | Std. Deviation | | 18.974 | 14.044 | 77.151 | 46.529 | 59.413 |
| Minimum | | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 |
| Maximum | | 409.0 | 130.0 | 90.0 | 256.0 | 232.6 | 256.0 |

CRP1: CRP 1st day, **CRP 2**: CRP 14^{th t} day, **CRP 3**: CRP 30th day, **RF1**: RF 1st day, **RF2**: RF 14^{th t} day, **RF3**: RF 30th day

| Paired Samples T-Test | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------|---------------|---------|--|--------|----|-----------------|
| | | | Р | aired Differe | ences | | | | |
| Parameter | | Mean Std. Deviation | | Std Error | | 95% Confidence Interval of the Difference | | Df | Sig. (2-tailed) |
| | | | | Wiedii | Lower | Upper | | | |
| Pair 1 | HB1 - HB2 | 0.103 | 0.780 | 0.100 | -0.098 | 0.305 | 1.025 | 59 | 0.310 |
| Pair 2 | HB1 - HB3 | 0.100 | 1.078 | 0.139 | -0.178 | 0.378 | 0.721 | 59 | 0.474 |
| Pair 3 | HB2 - HB3 | -0.003 | 0.997 | 0.128 | -0.260 | 0.254 | -0.023 | 59 | 0.981 |
| Pair 4 | WBC1 - WBC2 | 0.054 | 2.189 | 0.282 | -0.511 | 0.620 | 0.193 | 59 | 0.848 |
| Pair 5 | WBC1 - WBC3 | -0.211 | 2.766 | 0.357 | -0.926 | 0.503 | -0.593 | 59 | 0.556 |
| Pair 6 | WBC2 - WBC3 | -0.266 | 2.445 | 0.315 | -0.897 | 0.365 | -0.843 | 59 | 0.403 |
| Pair 7 | PLT1 - PLT2 | 17.283 | 64.356 | 8.308 | 0.658 | 33.908 | 2.080 | 59 | 0.042 |
| Pair 8 | PLT1 - PLT3 | 9.317 | 40.449 | 5.222 | -1.133 | 19.766 | 1.784 | 59 | 0.080 |
| Pair 9 | PLT2 - PLT3 | -7.966 | 59.585 | 7.692 | -23.359 | 7.426 | -1.036 | 59 | 0.305 |
| Pair 10 | ESR1 - ESR2 | 3.245 | 14.726 | 1.901 | -0.559 | 7.049 | 1.707 | 59 | 0.093 |
| Pair 11 ESR1 - ESR3 | | 4.503 | 18.831 | 2.431 | -0.361 | 9.368 | 1.852 | 59 | 0.069 |
| Pair 12 ESR2 - ESR3 | | 1.258 | 9.967 | 1.286 | -1.316 | 3.833 | .978 | 59 | 0.332 |

Table 4: Comparison in hematological tests changes during 1st, 14th, 30th days of treatment

 Table 5: Comparison in biochemical tests changes during 1st, 14th, 30th days of treatment

| | | | Paire | d Samples T | '-Test | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------------|--------------------|--|---------|--------|----|-----------------|
| | | | | Paired Diffe | | | | | |
| | Parameter | | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean | 95% Confidence Interval of the Difference | | t | Df | Sig. (2-tailed) |
| | | | Deviation | Wiedli | Lower | Upper | | | |
| Pair 1 | Creatinine1- Creatinine 2 | 0.026 | 0.224 | 0.029 | -0.031 | 0.084 | 0.913 | 59 | 0.365 |
| Pair 2 | Creatinine1- Creatinine 3 | 0.021 | 0.332 | 0.042 | -0.064 | 0.10707 | 0.491 | 59 | 0.626 |
| Pair 3 | Creatinine2- Creatinine 3 | -0.005 | 0.313 | 0.040 | -0.086 | 0.075 | -0.133 | 59 | 0.894 |
| Pair 4 | GOT1 - GOT2 | 3.719 | 16.679 | 2.153 | -0.589 | 8.028 | 1.727 | 59 | 0.089 |
| Pair 5 | GOT1 - GOT3 | 4.057 | 16.293 | 2.103 | -0.151 | 8.266 | 1.929 | 59 | 0.059 |
| Pair 6 | GOT2 - GOT3 | 0.338 | 5.482 | 0.707 | -1.078 | 1.754 | 0.478 | 59 | 0.635 |
| Pair 7 | GPT1 - GPT2 | 4.400 | 17.108 | 2.208 | -0.0194 | 8.819 | 1.992 | 59 | 0.051 |
| Pair 8 GPT1 - GPT3 | | 5.048 | 16.870 | 2.177 | 0.690 | 9.406 | 2.318 | 59 | 0.024 |
| Pair 9 | GPT2 - GPT3 | 0.648 | 5.527 | 0.713 | -0.779 | 2.076 | 0.909 | 59 | 0.367 |

 Table 6: Comparison in serological tests changes during 1st, 14th, 30th days of treatment

| | Paired Samples T-Test | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------|-------------------|------------|--|--------|-------|----|-----------------|
| | | | | Paired Di | fferences | | | | |
| I | Parameter | | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval of the Difference | | t | Df | Sig. (2-tailed) |
| | | | Deviation | Mean | Lower | Upper | | | |
| Pair 1 | CRP1 - CRP2 | 8.900 | 49.537 | 6.395 | -3.896 | 21.697 | 1.392 | 59 | 0.169 |
| Pair 2 | CRP1 - CRP3 | 9.462 | 50.557 | 6.527 | -3.598 | 22.523 | 1.450 | 59 | 0.152 |
| Pair 3 | CRP2 - CRP3 | 0.562 | 11.184 | 1.444 | -2.327 | 3.451 | 0.389 | 59 | 0.699 |
| Pair 4 | RF1 - RF2 | 31.737 | 67.822 | 8.755 | 14.217 | 49.258 | 3.625 | 59 | 0.001 |
| Pair 5 | RF1 - RF3 | 32.931 | 84.154 | 10.864 | 11.191 | 54.670 | 3.031 | 59 | 0.004 |
| Pair 6 RF2 - RF3 | | 1.193 | 33.173 | 4.282 | -7.376 | 9.763 | 0.279 | 59 | 0.781 |

Abbreviations

| CrCl | Creatinine clearance |
|----------|--|
| CRP | C-reactive protein |
| csDMARDs | conventional synthetic Disease-Modifying AntiRheumatic Drugs |
| EDTA | Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid |
| ESR | Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate |
| EULAR | European Alliance of Association for Rheumatology |
| GOT | Glutamic Oxaloacetic Transaminase |
| GPT | Glutamic Pyruvic Transaminase |
| Hb | Hemoglobin |
| JRA | Juvenile Rheumatoid Arthritis |
| MCV | Mean Corpuscular Volume |
| Mg | Milligram |
| Ml | Milliliter |
| MTX | Methotrexate |
| PLT | Platelet |
| RA | Rheumatoid arthritis |
| RDW | Red cell Distribution Width |
| RF | Rheumatoid Factor |
| SD | Standard deviation |
| WBC | White blood cell |
| | |
| V | |