Effects of Resistance Training on Adiponectin, Testosterone and Cortisol Levels in Untrained Men
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ABSTRACT

Background and Objective: Adipose tissue secretes various hormones including adiponectin, which is closely related to weight control and energy balance. This study investigated the effects of resistance training on adiponectin, testosterone and cortisol levels in untrained men.

Methods: Forty untrained men (mean age of 23.8 ± 2.66 years, mean weight of 67.43 ± 4.96 kg) were randomly and equally assigned into groups of upper extremity resistance training, lower extremity resistance training, combined resistance training, and control. The subjects performed eight weeks of weight training, three sessions per week (five sets of 60-85% one repetition maximum). Blood sampling was done prior to the start of the program, after the fourth week, and after the eight week. Alpha level was set to 0.05 for all statically analyses.

Results: Repeated measures ANOVA showed that eight weeks of upper extremities training significantly decreased body fat percentage (p=0.002, 7.39%), and significantly increased adiponectin (p=0.000; 90.4%) and testosterone (p=0.002; 24.19%) levels. In the lower extremities training group, body fat percentage (p=0.006, 7.39%) decreased significantly, while adiponectin (p=0.012; 87.8%) and testosterone (p=0.000; 23.5%) levels increased significantly compared to the pretest. Eight weeks of combined training significantly increased BMI (p=0.006, 1.98%), muscle mass (p=0.007, 2.2%), and adiponectin (p=0.000, 91.56%) level. However, cortisol level decreased (p=0.017, 19.17%) after four weeks of training.

Conclusion: Upper and lower extremities resistance trainings significantly change testosterone levels. Different types of resistance training significantly increases serum adiponectin level and changes body composition, which are effective in prevention of cardiovascular diseases.
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INTRODUCTION
Obesity is a major health problem worldwide with a rising prevalence in both developed and developing countries (1). Considerable efforts have been expended to control or prevent this health problem (2). Obesity is also a known risk factor for cardiovascular disease. Adipose tissue secretes important proteins known as adipocytokines, which cause several biological outcomes. Adiponectin is an adipocytokine that can be considered an important biomarker of cardiovascular diseases (3-6). It is reported that adiponectin has anti-atherosclerotic and anti-atherogenic effects (7). On the other hands, some evidences indicate that testosterone may control the secretion of adiponectin from fat cells. This may be the reason for higher incidence of atherosclerosis in men, since women have higher adiponectin levels compared to men (8). Testosterone is thought to have a potential anti-diabetic effect in men (9). Laughlin et al. reported a positive correlation between testosterone and adiponectin levels, and indicated that glucocorticoids control the expression of adiponectin (10). Since adiponectin may be a marker of cardiovascular disease, lower adiponectin levels could be associated with high incidence of coronary heart disease (11). While some studies show that endurance training have positive effects on reducing the risk of cardiovascular disease (12), there are some reports that recommend strength training for maintenance of fitness in all age groups (13). Previous studies have shown that glucocorticoids control the expression of adiponectin, while there are contradicting results on the effects of resistance training. For example, some studies have shown that 12 weeks of resistance training with moderate intensity cause a significant increase in adiponectin levels, while others demonstrated no significant change in serum adiponectin levels (14, 15). Olson et al. reported that the level of serum adiponectin increased following one year of resistance training (two sessions per week, every 48 hours with 8 to 10 repetitions) in 16 overweight women (16). In spite of various effects of resistance training on level of different hormones compared to other types of training, the exact effect of resistance training on adipokines is not clear. Resistance trainings include upper body and lower body workouts. Training protocols used by bodybuilders may be highly acceptable for teenagers (17). Considering the probable association of adipocytokines, testosterone and physical activity with cardiovascular disease, the present study aimed to determine the effects of different types of resistance training on adiponectin, testosterone, and cortisol levels in untrained men.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
In this experimental study, 40 healthy untrained young men with mean age of 23.8±2.66 years and mean weight of 67.43±4.96kg were enrolled. The participants had no history of participation in any regular physical activity. All the participants were examined by a physician and no indication of cardiovascular, metabolic, respiratory or renal disease was present nor did they have any orthopedic injuries that limited their physical activities. They were randomly assigned into four groups consisting of lower extremities training group (squat, launch, leg press, leg extensions, leg curl and seated calf raise), upper extremities training group (bench press, rowing, shoulder military press, lat pull-down, biceps and triceps curl), combined resistance training group (bench press, leg press, lat pull-down, squat, biceps curl and seated calf raise), and control group. The exercises included one set of 8 to 12 repetitions at 60% maximum capability per session, and four sets of 6 to 8 repetitions at 80-85% maximum capability. There was a two-minute rest between each set of training and a three-minute rest between every workout. At the beginning of each session, the subjects performed jogging and stretching exercises for 10 minutes to warm up before the weight training exercises. At the end of each session, the subjects did jogging and stretching exercises to slow down the repetition. Subjects in the exercise groups were asked to avoid any types of exercise during the study other than the instructed workouts. In order to receive sufficient recovery time and avoid overtraining, the intensity of exercises was decreased to 60-85% of maximum capability after the new repetition
maximum (RM) was determined. The participants in the control group were asked to perform their regular daily activities and avoid taking part in any type of new physical activity. On the first day of the study, weight, height, body composition, and strength (one RM) of each subject were measured. Body composition was assessed by a body composition analyzer (BC-418MA). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as body weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared (Kg/m²). The exercise protocol included 8 weeks of daily weight training. The entire training protocol lasted about 70 minutes per session. All subjects followed a similar diet (55% carbohydrate, 15% protein and 30% fat) for three days until the time of blood sampling. They were also asked to go to bed at 11:00 P.M. Blood samples were collected before the intervention, at the end of the fourth week, and 24 hours after the last training session followed by 12 hours of fasting. Collected samples were analyzed to measure the serum levels of leptin, adiponectin, testosterone, and cortisol. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Sports Research Center (Code: SSRC.REC.1394.102). Written consent was obtained from all subjects before the start of the exercise protocol.

**Blood collection:** After 12 hours of fasting, blood sample was taken (5 ml) from the right hand’s *antecubital vein* in a sitting position *prior to the start of* the exercise program, at the end of the fourth week, and after eighth week of training. Each session was planned in a way that 10 hours of recovery was given to every participant prior to blood sampling. The blood samples were collected in tubes and kept at room temperature to allow coagulation. They were then centrifuged for 10 minute at 3500 rpm. The serum was separated and kept in a small tube at -80 °C for further analysis. Biochemical analysis for measuring serum adiponectin level was performed using ELISA method and commercial kits (BOSTER Co. with 60 pg/mg sensitivity). Total testosterone (ng/mm) and cortisol levels were measured by chemiluminescent assay using a Siemens system (Germany) and commercial kits.

**Statistical analysis:** Data were calculated as mean ± standard deviation (SD). The data was analyzed using test of normality, ANOVA, repeated measures ANOVA, Friedman test (when required) and Kruskal-Wallis test. Post hoc tests including Bonferroni, Scheffe, Tukey, *Mann–Whitney U test and Wilcoxon test were also used.* All data were analyzed by SPSS software (version 20) with the alpha level set to 0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training groups</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Height (Cm)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Body fat (%)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper extremities</td>
<td>24.15±2.77</td>
<td>170.7±6.76</td>
<td>70±3.32</td>
<td>15.6±4.83</td>
<td>23±1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower extremities</td>
<td>21±0.79</td>
<td>174.6±8.22</td>
<td>65.13±7.39</td>
<td>15.7±4.85</td>
<td>23.5±3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>21.20±0.76</td>
<td>176.2±1.55</td>
<td>66.52±3.96</td>
<td>19.1±3.30</td>
<td>25±2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>22.26±2.23</td>
<td>175.20±5.07</td>
<td>68.10±3.96</td>
<td>15.7±4.88</td>
<td>23.90±2.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are represented as mean ± SD
Table 2- Anthropometric and physiological measurements of the study groups according to the time of measurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time of measurement</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pretest</td>
<td>Fourth week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>Upper E</td>
<td>24.15±2.76</td>
<td>23.78±3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower E</td>
<td>21.10±0.79</td>
<td>21.10±0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>21.38±0.76</td>
<td>21.72±0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>22.26±2.23</td>
<td>21.18±0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat percentage</td>
<td>Upper E</td>
<td>18.58±2.25</td>
<td>17.87±1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower E</td>
<td>13.53±0.84</td>
<td>13.61±0.65†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>12.78±2.41</td>
<td>12.63±2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>17.26±5.54</td>
<td>17.16±5.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle mass</td>
<td>Upper E</td>
<td>53.73±1.24</td>
<td>53.93±1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>percentage</td>
<td>Lower E</td>
<td>49.79±6.31</td>
<td>49.94±5.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>54.91±1.33</td>
<td>56.11±1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>50.55±4.46</td>
<td>50.18±5.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The result of analysis indicated that the data was not normally distributed. The result of Kruskal-Wallis, Mann–Whitney U test, and Bonferroni correction indicated significant differences between the groups (p<0.05).

*significant difference between three stages of training
†significant difference between post-test data within groups
**significant difference between the four groups (p<0.025)
and secretion of testosterone in response to vascular dilations are thought to be involved in testosterone level increase in response to different exercise programs. The results of this study showed that resistance training increases resting plasma testosterone level, which is in agreement with the results of some previous studies (20,21). Another study demonstrated that exercise on arm ergometer causes higher tension in muscles and as a result, more type-II motor fibers are recruited. Since this type of fibers have higher glycolytic and lower oxidative capacity, the rate of lactate production increases (22). Although the level of lactate was not measured in this study, the increase in testosterone levels may be due to the type of exercise performed in the training protocol. On the other hand, there is a high number of androgenic receptors in upper extremities (chest) that shows less response to strength training exercises compared to the muscles in lower extremities (Vastus lateralis muscle) (23). Therefore, combining the upper and lower extremities trainings may not cause any significant alteration. Inconsistent with these findings, some studies reported that full body workouts increase hormonal response (24, 25). The combined trainings significantly increased BMI after eight weeks. There seems to be an inverse association between BMI and testosterone level. Moreover, the muscle mass increased in all exercise groups. However, this increase was only significant in the combined training group. Prolonged neuroendocrine adaptation may be associated with the volume and intensity of training (18).

RESULTS

Eight weeks of upper extremity training significantly decreased body fat percentage (p=0.002), and increased plasma levels of adiponectin (p=0.000) and testosterone (p=0.002). Lower extremities training significantly decreased body fat percentage (p=0.006), and increased plasma levels of adiponectin (p=0.012) and testosterone (p=0.000). In the combined resistance training group, eight weeks of training significantly increased BMI (p=0.006), muscle mass (p=0.007) and serum adiponectin level (p=0.000). However, plasma cortisol level in this group reduced significantly following four weeks of training (Figure 1).

There were significant differences between the level of serum adiponectin in the experimental groups and the control group following eight weeks of training. The Eight weeks of training significantly changed serum testosterone levels (p=0.025). The serum testosterone levels significantly increased in the upper and lower extremities groups compared to the control group (p=0.008). The body fat percentage changed significantly after eight weeks of training (p=0.030). In addition, there was a significant difference between body fat percentage in the combined training group and the upper extremities group (p=0.008). The mean BMI of the experimental groups changed significantly after eight weeks of training (p=0.007). There was also a significant difference between mean BMI of the combined training group and the upper extremities group (p=0.008) (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

The results showed that eight weeks of upper and lower extremities resistance training significantly increased serum testosterone level. Testosterone is a predictive factor of cardiovascular diseases that plays a significant role in muscle growth and hypertrophy (10, 18). Four weeks of lower extremities training significantly increases testosterone, probably because bigger muscle groups are involved in this type of training. In addition, the intensity of exercise programs may contribute to these changes. Gote et al. have shown that performing resistance training with 80% 1RM significantly increases testosterone level (19). Testicular circulation, increased activity of sympathetic nervous system, increased volume of plasma, increased discharge from testicles, and secretion of testosterone in response to vascular dilations are thought to be involved in testosterone level increase in response to different exercise programs. The results of this study showed that resistance training increases resting plasma testosterone level, which is in agreement with the results of some previous studies (20,21). Another study demonstrated that exercise on arm ergometer causes higher tension in muscles and as a result, more type-II motor fibers are recruited. Since this type of fibers have higher glycolytic and lower oxidative capacity, the rate of lactate production increases (22). Although the level of lactate was not measured in this study, the increase in testosterone levels may be due to the type of exercise performed in the training protocol. On the other hand, there is a high number of androgenic receptors in upper extremities (chest) that shows less response to strength training exercises compared to the muscles in lower extremities (Vastus lateralis muscle) (23). Therefore, combining the upper and lower extremities trainings may not cause any significant alteration. Inconsistent with these findings, some studies reported that full body workouts increase hormonal response (24, 25). The combined trainings significantly increased BMI after eight weeks. There seems to be an inverse association between BMI and testosterone level. Moreover, the muscle mass increased in all exercise groups. However, this increase was only significant in the combined training group. Prolonged neuroendocrine adaptation may be associated with the volume and intensity of training (18).

Eight weeks of upper and lower extremities training significantly decreased body fat percentage. Some reports indicate that different durations of resistance training may decrease body fat by 1-9% (26). The level of testosterone has a negative association with the level of central fat in men (27, 28). Therefore, decrease in body fat percentage, increases testosterone levels. Epidemiologic studies have shown a mutual relationship between obesity and serum testosterone level (29). Thus, it can be concluded that the trainings can affect both body fat percentage and testosterone level. The combined training program significantly
decreased cortisol levels after four weeks, while no significant alteration was observed in other training groups. Goto et al. demonstrated that intensive resistance training (80% 1RM) and speedy movement do not cause significant alteration in cortisol levels (30). This is partially in agreement with the results of the present study (upper and lower extremities trainings). Several factors such as individual differences, nutritional status, and training conditions may affect exercise-induced cortisol level alterations (18). The mild and insignificant decrease in serum concentration of cortisol after eight weeks of upper and lower extremities trainings can be attributed to adaptation of the nervous system to exercise. The decreased cortisol levels in upper and lower extremities trainings seems to be proportional to increased testosterone levels in these types of trainings, which indicates hormonal interactions (18, 31). The serum level of adiponectin in all training groups increased after eight weeks of training. This is consistent with another study that showed moderate-to-high intensity resistance training significantly increases adiponectin levels (14). However, study of Ahmadzadeh et al. showed that 12 weeks of strength training (three days per week with 50-60% intensity, 1RM) and 12 weeks of endurance training (including running at 75-85% maximum heart rate for three days per week) did not cause any significant change in serum adiponectin levels (15). The discrepancies between the results of the present study and other studies may be due to differences in the age, gender, weight and BMI of the participants, and exercise protocol (32, 33). There was a positive correlation between intensity of exercise and adiponectin level (17). All exercise groups in the present study performed high intensity training causing a significant increase in their adiponectin levels (with no significant difference between training groups). It has been shown that resistance training increases protein synthesis in muscles that ultimately brings about an increased muscle mass. This enhances resting energy consumption, which consequently decreases body fat percentage (34). Ryan et al. reported the inverse association of serum leptin and adiponectin levels with body fat percentage (35). In addition, resistance training increases glucose removal by peripheral tissues in response to acidosis, lactate accumulation, increased adrenal sympathetic nerve activity, energy consumption and glycogen depletion. It also regulates glycolysis that in turn increases insulin sensitivity. On the other hand, an increase in BMI (increase in muscle mass and decrease in fat tissue) gives rise to an increase in serum levels of adiponectin (36). Therefore, the increase in adiponectin levels of these groups may be attributed to increased muscle mass in combined trainings and decreased body fat percentage in lower and upper extremities trainings. Simpson et al. claimed that performing aerobic exercise and sufficiently long or intense resistance trainings decreased body fat percentage, which in turn caused a significant increase in adiponectin levels (12). Therefore, the increase in serum adiponectin level in the present study can be attributed to changes in the body composition in response to the trainings.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study showed that resistance training significantly increases adiponectin levels. Eight weeks of upper and lower extremities trainings reduce body fat percentage and testosterone level, respectively. Moreover, muscular mass increases after eight weeks of combined trainings. However, more studies are required to determine the exact mechanisms involved in alteration of testosterone and cortisol levels, and their effects on changes in the body composition.
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