ABSTRACT

Background and Objective: Nowadays, incidence of antibiotic resistance among pathogenic bacteria has increased due to indiscriminate use of antimicrobial drugs for treatment of diseases, especially urinary tract infections. Medicinal plants are also of great importance as antibacterial agents. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the antibacterial effect of ethanolic extract of nettle (*Urtica dioica* L.) leaves using two methods of disk diffusion and well diffusion.

Methods: Ethanolic extract of nettle leaves was prepared by the percolation method. Effect of different concentrations of the extract on *Escherichia coli* (PTCC 1399), *Staphylococcus aureus* (PTCC 1431), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (PTCC 1435) and *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* (PTCC1440) was evaluated using the disk diffusion and well diffusion methods by measuring diameter of growth inhibition zone. Gentamicin and propylene glycol were used as positive and negative control, respectively.

Results: In both methods, especially in the well diffusion, the ethanolic extract of nettle leaves had favorable inhibitory effect on the growth of *S. aureus*, *S. epidermidis* and *S. saprophyticus*. In the well diffusion method, the highest rate of susceptibility to the extract (89%) was related to *S. saprophyticus* and *S. epidermidis*.

Conclusion: The ethanolic extract of nettle leaf has good inhibitory effect on the growth of *S. aureus* (especially in the well diffusion method), which confirms the traditional use of this plant for the treatment of urinary tract infections.
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INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is one of the most important and common infections that can occur at any age (1). It is also the second most frequent infection after upper respiratory tract infection. Escherichia coli, Proteus vulgaris, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Staphylococci, Enterobacter, Citrobacter and Pseudomonas aeruginosa are among the most common bacteria causing UTI (2). UTIs are usually treated with antibiotics, but there are reports of increasing antibiotic-resistance in pathogenic bacteria (2-4). Urtica dioica L., commonly known as nettle, is a member of the family Urticaceae (5) and popular for poisonous villi on its aerial parts (6). This plant usually grows wild in humid regions of Iran, especially in the north, northwest and center (7). It is globally known as a medicinal plant for its healing effects after long-term use. In Iranian traditional medicine, it is known as an anti-inflammatory agent (6). Several studies have demonstrated that this herb has antioxidant, antibacterial, anti-diabetic, anti-rheumatic, anti-inflammatory, anti-allergic, antipyretic, anti-hypertensive, digestive stimulating and diuretic properties (5). The fresh and dried leaves of this plant are used to treat UTIs (6-8). A study showed the antimicrobial activity of U. dioica L. against E. coli and several staphylococci using the disk diffusion method. Also, this study showed that the plant extract completely inhibited the growth of gram-positive bacteria such as Staphylococcus epidermidis, Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus saprophyticus, while E. coli was resistant to the extract (2). Another study found that the alcoholic extract of the plant have favorable antibacterial activity against Streptococcus pyogenes, S. aureus and S. epidermidis (9). Different parts of this plant contains chlorophyll, vitamins (C, K, B1, B2), pantothenic acid, carotenoid, protein, tannin, essential oils, minerals (iron, manganese, copper and nickel), acetylcholine, histamine, flavonoids (10), phenolic acids and alkaloids (11). The most important biological functions of the phenolic and flavonoids constituents could be attributed to their antioxidant, anti-bacterial and anti-inflammatory properties (12, 13). Besides the inherent properties of biologically active compounds, methods of extraction and preparation can have different results. For example, significant differences have been reported in the antibacterial effects when using two methods of disk diffusion and well diffusion (14-16). The aim of this study was to determine and compare the antimicrobial effect of U. dioica L. collected from Golestan (village of Ziarat) and Mazandaran (villages of Aqoozki and Surat) Provinces in vitro.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This analytical study was performed on U. dioica L. collected at flowering season and in late June 2011 from the mountainous areas of Ziarat, Surat and Aqoozki. The samples were identified and extracted at the herbarium of Medicinal Plants Research Center of Islamic Azad University of Gorgan. The young leaves of the plant were separated from the base and then dried in the suitable conditions (at dark with airflow). The samples were powdered with an electric mill. In order to produce the ethanolic extract, 70% ethanol and percolation method were used. First, 50g of the powder from each sample were transferred into a decanting device, followed by step-by-step addition of heated-70% ethanol. Addition of ethanol continued until the solvent covered all samples and the surface of the samples inside the device completely. After 72 hours of extraction, isolation of extracts from the solvent was performed using a rotary vane vacuum pump (17). At this stage, the ethanolic extract was diluted with 10% propylene glycol to obtain concentrations of 12.5, 25, 50, 100, 250 and 500 mg/ml of extract (18). Blank disks (Padtan Teb Co.) were used to prepare discs containing the extract. The blank disks were placed in tubes containing specific concentrations of the extracts. After 5-10 min of incubation at 37 °C, the disks were dried and prepared for use (19). The bacteria used in the study included standard strains of E. coli (PTCC 1399), S. aureus (PTCC 1431), S. epidermidis (PTCC 1435) and S. saprophyticus (PTCC 1440) that were obtained in lyophilized form from the microbial collection of Iranian Research Organization for Science and Technology. The bacterial samples were recovered, identified and verified according to standard methods.
Table 1- Comparison of mean diameter of growth inhibition for different concentrations of nettle leaves extract in the disk diffusion and well diffusion methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/mL)</th>
<th>12.5</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soorat zirat Aghozak</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disk Diffusion</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. epidermidis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well Diffusion</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. epidermidis</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. saprophyticus</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Diameter of growth inhibition zone was measured in two methods of disk diffusion and well diffusion to determine the antimicrobial activity of the ethanolic extract of nettle. The diameters of < 8 mm, between 8 to 12 mm and > 12 mm were considered as resistant, moderately susceptible and susceptible, respectively (17). Moreover, 10% polyethylene glycol and gentamicin (MAST Co.) were used as the negative and positive controls, respectively. Bacterial suspension equivalent to 0.5 McFarland Standard (1.5 x 10^8 CFU/ml.) was prepared from the four bacterial strains. Then, 100 μl of the prepared suspension was cultured uniformly across a culture plate containing Mueller Hinton agar medium (MERK Co.). The discs containing various concentrations of the extract were placed on the agar’s surface at a certain distance from edge of the plate and each other. Plates were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. The antibacterial effect of each disk was evaluated by measuring the diameter of inhibition zone around the discs (17). Later, 100 μl of the 24-hour bacterial culture was uniformly cultured on surface of Mueller Hinton agar. The wells with diameter of 6-7 mm were created using a sterile Pasteur pipette. Then, 100 μl of prepared concentrations of the extract was poured into each well. The diameter of growth inhibition zone was measured after 24 hours of incubation at 37 °C (20). Each experiment was repeated three times for each bacterial strain. Mean diameter of inhibition zone of the three replicates was recorded. Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS software (version 21). P-value less than 0.01 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS
Comparison of the two methods showed that the susceptibility of bacteria to the extract was significantly higher (61%) in the well diffusion method than that of in the disc diffusion method. The results showed that location of growth had no significant effect on the antibacterial activity of the extract in both methods (at 1%). In the disk diffusion method, the ethanolic extract of nettle inhibited the growth of all tested bacteria other than E. coli. The highest inhibitory effect was related to disks containing 500 mg/ml of extract. In this method, the highest susceptibility to the extract was related to S. saprophyticus (39%) (Tables 1). In the well diffusion method, the ethanolic extract had inhibitory effect on all bacteria other than E. coli. The highest inhibitory effect was associated with the concentration of 500 mg/ml of extract. However, the lower concentrations also showed good antibacterial effects compared to the disk diffusion method. In this method, S. epidermidis and S. saprophyticus were most susceptible (89% susceptibility) to the extract (Tables 1).
DISCUSSION

Natural compounds are considered as important sources of new antibacterial agents (21). This study evaluated the inhibitory activity of the ethanolic extract of nettle leaves isolated from three different regions against S. aureus and E. coli (as causative agents of UTI) using two methods of disk diffusion and well diffusion. The diameter of growth inhibition zone is influenced by the concentration of active compound in the plant. There is a linear relationship between size of the zone and logarithm of the concentration tested. The antimicrobial power of the compound tested is determined by measuring diameter of the zone and its comparison with the specific standard (14). The results showed that the well diffusion method showed more inhibitory effects on the growth of microorganisms compared to the disk diffusion method. This is consistent with the results of studies on the antibacterial properties of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of black cumin (14), flower and stem extracts of yarrow (15) and Polygonum bistorta (16). This difference may be because in the well diffusion method, the extract is poured directly into the well, while in the disk diffusion method the disks are first impregnated with the extract and then placed on the agar surface after complete evaporation of the solvent (14). In both methods, increasing the concentration of the extract increased the diameter of growth inhibition zone of gram-positive bacteria. Several studies have shown that concentration of the extract affects its antimicrobial effects (22-24). Consistent with our results, study of Shahidi in 2004 evaluated the antimicrobial effect of 45 Iranian native plants on three strains of S. aureus and found that increasing the concentration of the extracts increases the antimicrobial effect (25). According to the results, different bacteria responded differently to treatment with the extract. All gram-positive bacteria were susceptible, while the gram-negative bacterium (E. coli) was not susceptible, which may be associated with the power of extract to penetrate inside bacteria. The membrane of gram-negative bacteria is highly hydrophilic and acts as a barrier against external agents such as hydrophilic dyes, antibiotics and detergents (6,26). As a result, the permeability of the membrane of these bacteria is much less than that of gram-positive bacteria (4), which is reported by several studies (2, 14, 27). In various studies, the bactericidal effect of nettle on Staphylococcus has been well demonstrated. Study of Kiaei et al. showed that in the disk diffusion method, 100 mg/ml of U. dioica L. completely inhibited the growth of gram-positive bacteria isolated from patients with UTI, but did not affect E. coli (2). In 1985, Janssen et al. stated that nettle’s extract inhibited the growth of S. aureus and S. epidermidis (9). Study of Kavalali in 2003 reported that the ethanolic extract of nettle inhibited the growth of S. aureus (28), consistent with the findings of the present study. The difference in the effects of plant extracts on bacteria depends on various factors including ecological, climatic and geographic factors, plant’s age, methods of drying and extraction of active components, type of solvent, concentration of the extract and type of culture medium (4). Based on Majd et al. ethanolic extract of nettle partially inhibited the growth of E. coli (29), and Shariat et al. (30), the minimum inhibitory concentration of the aqueous extract of nettle against E. coli was 2.5mg/ml, while the minimum bactericidal concentration was reported as 20 mg/ml. Kavalali (28) reported that the ethanolic extract of nettle inhibited the growth of E. coli, in line with our findings. This could be due to differences in the methods of extraction, strains studied, solvents and methods used. Some studies also showed that nettle has little inhibitory effect on the growth of E. coli (25, 31-33). In this regard, Shahidi et al. (25, 31), Shale et al. (32) and Dulger and Gonuz (34) stated that nettle extract has no effect on the growth of E. coli. In accordance with the present study and other studies, it seems that nettle does not have a significant effect on the growth of E. coli. The confirmation of this hypothesis requires more detailed studies by analysis of extracts and evaluation of their effects. Nettle is rich in phytochemicals such as phenolic compounds and minerals that can be considered as source of drugs (35). Nettle’s constituents such as terpenes and phenols are considered as effective agents in inhibition of microbial infections and cancer (36). A study reported that the antimicrobial activity of hexane extract of nettle was due to the presence of terpene compounds such as neophytadiene,
butyl tetradecyl ester, dibutyl phthalate, bis(2-ethylhexyl) maleate and 1, 2-benzene dicarboxylic acid (21). In another study, ethyl acetate extract of nettle showed higher antibacterial and antioxidant activity than dandelion flower, which could be due to high content of phenolic compounds and presence of active compounds such as alkaloids, tannins and terpenoids in the U. dioica L. (37). Considering the aforementioned issues, the intensity of the antimicrobial activity of U. dioica L. could be influenced by the amount of phenolic compounds and secondary metabolites. Thus, the antibacterial activity of the plant could be attributed to the mentioned compounds.

CONCLUSION
The results of this study confirm the suitability of this plant in traditional medicine as an antimicrobial agent effective against some bacteria.
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