Presence of Silver Resistance Genes is not associated with Minimum Inhibitory Concentration of Silver Nanoparticles against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*

**ABSTRACT**

**Background and objectives:** Drug resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*, as severe pathogenic bacteria, has become a health challenge. However, nanoparticles have been introduced as effective candidates for their eradication. In this study, we investigated presence of genes involved in conferring resistance to silver nanoparticles in *S. aureus* and *E. coli* isolates and evaluated its association with minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the nanoparticles against these isolates.

**Methods:** The MIC of silver nanoparticles against 121 clinical isolates of *E. coli* and 183 *S. aureus* isolates was assessed by broth microdilution assay. Presence and expression of the silver resistance genes (*silE*, *silR/S*) in the isolates were investigated by PCR and real-time PCR, respectively.

**Results:** The *silE* gene was found in three (1.6%) *S. aureus* and four (3%) *E. coli* isolates. MIC of silver nanoparticles against *S. aureus* isolates with the *silE* gene was 1, 2 and 8 µg/ml. Moreover, the MIC of the nanoparticles against *silE*-positive *E. coli* isolates was 16 µg/ml in three cases and 8 µg/ml in one case. None of the *S. aureus* isolates contained the *silR/S* gene, but presence of both *silE* and *silR/S* was confirmed in two *E. coli* isolates. Real-time PCR showed no *sil* expression in the isolates containing the resistance genes.

**Conclusion:** The frequency of the silver resistance genes among *S. aureus* and *E. coli* isolates is very low. There is no relationship between presence of the resistance genes and the MIC value of silver nanoparticles.
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INTRODUCTION
Due to the increased prevalence of multi-drug resistant pathogens that do not respond to conventional antibiotics (1,2), pharmaceutical companies and scientists are seeking alternative antimicrobial agents against these pathogens. Among various types of nanomaterials, silver particles have been proven to have higher antimicrobial activity against bacteria and viruses (3). Although silver has been used for treatment of illnesses such as neonatal gonococcal conjunctivitis and burn wound infections (4), its utilization declined gradually after the emergence of antibiotics. However, the use of metal ions, such as silver, for the treatment of infections has been recently reconsidered due to bacterial resistance and side effects of chemical drugs (5).

Resistance to silver is very limited (6) with unclear mechanisms and a possible plasmid origin. Plasmid pMG101 (180 kb) (6-9) was first identified by McHugh et al. in *Salmonella typhimurium* isolates (10). However, the plasmid has been also detected in *Enterobacter*, *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas*, *Acinetobacter*, *Klebsiella* and *Staphylococcus aureus* (6). According to Wood et al., silver-resistance conferring genes of non-pathogenic *Enterobacter cloacae* isolates are located on this plasmid (11). The plasmid also encodes genetic information on resistance to other compounds such as mercury, tellurite and several antibiotics including ampicillin, chloramphenicol, tetracycline, streptomycin and sulfonamides (6-9). A region of PMG101 that increases resistance to silver has been cloned and sequenced (GenBank accession AF067954) (9). The silver-resistance gene cluster consists of nine genes (6-9), the most important of which is *silE* that encodes the silver-binding periplasmic protein (9). The protein pumps silver nanoparticles out of the cytoplasm through activation of diffusion pumps and prevents access of these particles to crucial cell components, ultimately inducing silver resistance (8). Upstream of the *silE* gene, the *silR* (transcriptional responder) and *silS* (membrane sensor kinase) genes seem to be involved in signal transduction and regulation of silver resistance (9).

Three possible mechanisms of silver nanoparticles toxicity are: a) uptake of free silver nanoparticles followed by disruption of ATP production and DNA replication, b) reactive oxygen species generation by silver nanoparticles, and c) direct damage to the cell membrane by silver nanoparticles (10). Considering the recent increased interest in synthesis of metallic nanoparticles for controlling microbial infections, and the growing concern about silver resistance, we aimed to evaluate the frequency and expression of the silver resistance genes and their impact on antimicrobial activity of silver nanoparticles against *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, as common nosocomial pathogens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Colloidal silver nanoparticles (size: 26nm) were purchased from Pars Nano Nasb Co. (Iran). A serial dilution of nanoparticles was prepared according to our previous study (12). The study were carried out on 183 confirmed *S. aureus* isolates from nasal cavity of healthy carriers (12 isolates), patients (96 isolates) and food samples (75 isolates) (13), and 121 confirmed clinical isolates of *E. coli* from the microbial collection of Golestan University of Medical Sciences (Iran) during 2009-2014. Fresh and overnight culture of pure organisms was used for bacterial suspension preparation according to reference methods for determining minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of silver nanoparticle and DNA extraction.

The MIC of silver nanoparticle against *S. aureus* and *E. coli* isolates was obtained in our previous study (12). In addition, association of MIC with presence of the *sil* genes was assessed.

DNA of isolates was extracted using a phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol mixture (14). Concentration and purity of the extracted DNA (ng/µL) was determined based on the A260/A280 ratio. Amplification of the resistance genes was done using a commercial kit (GenetBio Co., South Korea) and specific primers (11) (Table 1).

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) reaction solution (50µl) contained 5 µl buffer (10x), 3 µl MgCl₂ (50mM), 1 µl dNTP (10mM), 1 µl of each primer (10 pmol), 0.4 µl Taq DNA polymerase (5 units), 33.6 µl nuclease-free water and 5 µl sample.

The PCR reaction was carried out in a PeQLab thermocycler under the following conditions: Initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 minutes, secondary denaturation at 95°C for 40 seconds,
performed using the same primers used previously. The real-time PCR master-mix (Thermo Scientific Co. Germany, Lot No.: 1605523) consisted 12.5 μl of mastermix, 0.5 μl of each primer (10 pmol), 2 μl cDNA and 10 μl nuclease-free water in a final volume of 25 μl. The reaction was carried out in the ABI Prism 7300 real-time thermocycler (Applied Biosystems Co.) under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 10 minutes, and 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 15 seconds, annealing at 60 °C for 40 seconds, and extension at 72 °C for 40 seconds. An E. coli isolate containing the sil gene with 98% similarity to that of standard plasmid pEC5207 was used as the positive control.

### RESULTS

Of 183 S. aureus isolates, only three isolates (1.6%) had the silE gene. Two of these isolates were methicillin-sensitive S. aureus and one isolate was methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA). All positive cases were isolated from patients, and none of the isolates from healthy carriers and food samples contained the silE gene (Figure 1).

The MIC of silver nanoparticles against S. aureus isolates was 1, 2 and 8 µg/ml. None of the S. aureus isolates was positive for the silRS genes.

Of 121 E. coli isolates, four isolates (3%) contained the silE gene (Figure 2).

The MIC of silver nanoparticles was 16 µg/ml against three isolates and 8 µg/ml against the remaining isolates. The simultaneous presence of silE and silRS genes was confirmed only in two isolates (1%) (Figure 3). Similarity of the silE gene between S. aureus and E. coli isolates was 94-98%. In addition, the silE gene had 94-100% similarity with the pEC5207 plasmid. The genes were submitted into GenBank database with the following GenBank accession numbers: MG816306, MG816307, MG816308, MG816309, MG816310, MG816311 and MG816312. According to the results of the real-time PCR experiment, the expression level of silE and silRS genes was undetectable in the isolates containing the resistance genes.

| Table1. Sequence of primers used in the study (11) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| **Gene**       | **Primer (5’ to 3’)** | **Amplicon size** |
| silE            | F: AGGGGAAACGGTCTGACTTC | 220bp |
|                 | R: ATATCCATGAGCGGGTCAAC |       |
| Sil RS          | F: GGCAATCGCAATCGAGATTTT | 189bp |
|                 | R: GTGGAAGGATCTCGGAGAGC |       |
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Figure 1. Gel electrophoresis of PCR products for presence of \textit{silE} gene in \textit{S. aureus} isolates. M: Molecular weight (1000 bp, Fermentas Co.), 1: positive control, 2: negative control, 3-5: \textit{S. aureus} isolates containing the \textit{silE} gene.

Figure 2. Gel electrophoresis of PCR products for presence of \textit{silE} gene in \textit{E. coli} isolates. M: Molecular weight (1000 bp, Fermentas Co.), 1: positive control, 2: negative control, 3-6: \textit{E. coli} isolates containing the \textit{silE} gene.

Figure 3. Gel electrophoresis of PCR products for presence of \textit{silR/S} gene in \textit{E. coli} isolates. M: Molecular weight (1000 bp, Fermentas Co.), 1: positive control, 2: negative control, 3 and 4: \textit{E. coli} isolates containing the \textit{silR/S} gene.
DISCUSSION

We investigated the frequency and expression of silver resistance genes and their association with MIC of silver nanoparticles. In this study, three *S. aureus* isolates (1.6%) contained the *silE* gene, while none of the isolates contained the *silR* and *silS* genes. In most previous studies, the frequency of the *silE* gene in *S. aureus* isolates did not exceed 6%. In studies of Hosseini et al. (15) and Sütterlin (16), none of the *S. aureus* isolates contained the *sil* gene. In study of Loh et al. (17), 6% of the MRSA isolates contained the *silE* gene, which is in line with our findings.

In our study, the frequency of the *silE* gene was 3% among *E. coli* isolates. However, only 1% of the isolates contained the *silE* and *silR/S* genes simultaneously. In study of Woods et al. (11) in England, six bacteria (two from humans, four from horses) contained the genes, all of which were identified as *E. cloacae*. However, in the study of Sütterlin (16) on 216 *E. coli* isolates, the *silE* gene was found in 13 human clinical isolates (6%), 10 of which also contained the *silR* and *silS* genes.

In a study by Sutterlin et al. (18), the prevalence of *silE*, *silP* and *silS* genes in intestinal *Enterobacter* and *Klebsiella* isolates was higher than that in other bacteria. The prevalence of these genes was 48% and 41% in *Enterobacter* and *Klebsiella* isolates, respectively. However, the abundance of these genes in *E. coli* was around 4%.

Based on the results, all isolates with the silver resistance genes were of human origin. The MIC of silver nanoparticles against *E. coli* isolates positive for the resistance genes was more than *S. aureus* isolates positive for the resistance genes. Moreover, the MIC of *E. coli* isolates containing the *silE*, *silR* and *silS* genes was identical to that of isolates that only contained the *silE* gene and strains without *sil* genes. This indicates that the MIC of silver nanoparticles is not associated with presence of the resistance genes but may be related to their expression level. This finding is in line with results of Sutterlin et al. (18). According to Silver (9) and Sütterlin (16), the *silE* and *SilR/S* genes on operon *sil* are the main cause of resistance. Therefore, we evaluated the presence of these genes. Similar to our study, Sutterlin et al. (18) assessed the frequency of three silver operon genes. Given the fact that the *silR/S* genes regulate the operon, the absence of the genes or their unexpression in *S. aureus* and *E. coli* can be indicative of operon repression thus cannot cause resistance to the silver nanoparticles.

It should be mentioned that the present study did not cover all genes involved in silver nanoparticle resistance. In addition, the relationship between the MIC of silver nanoparticles and *sil* operon needs to be evaluated.

CONCLUSION

The frequency of the silver resistance genes among *S. aureus* and *E. coli* isolates is very low. There is no relationship between presence of the resistance genes and the MIC value of silver nanoparticles.
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